

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/652,413		08/31/2000	Jim B. Estipona	INTL-0443-US(P9558)	4266		
21906	21906 7590 12/14/2006		•	EXAM	EXAMINER		
TROP PR			SALCE, JASON P				
1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750 HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	•		2623			

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			09/652,413		ESTIPONA, JIM B.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Jason P. Salce		2623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication app	ears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr o period for reply is specified above, the maximum state that the properties of the period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are depatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS C 36(a). In no event, how rill apply and will expire cause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 🏹	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>01</i> Se	entember 2006						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)		•			secution as to the	e merits is			
-,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election require	ement.					
Applicat	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examine	r.						
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			jected to by the F	Examiner.				
•	Applicant may not request that any obje	-		-					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correcti	on is required if the	ne drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Ex	aminer. Note th	e attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority			• •	<u></u>				
	3. Copies of the certified copies		-		ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation		•	` ''					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list o	of the certified c	opies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	`` '			_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO 040\	4) 🗌	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10-940)	5) 🗌	Notice of Informal Pa					
	No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🗌	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 9/1/2006, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new grounds of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

2. After review of the Appeal Brief and after further consideration of the claim limitations by the examiner, the claims have been found to be allowable over the prior art. However, the claims are not allowable in view of statue U.S.C. 101 for containing non-statutory subject matter (see the rejection below).

Information Disclosure Statement

Art Unit: 2623

3. The information disclosure statement filed 7/24/2006 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The examiner notes that only the abstract of the foreign reference has been provided and that even though an office action has been provided, the examiner notes that this is not a concise explanation of the relevance of the foreign reference provided. Furthermore, the Office Action itself has not been included on the IDS form along with the foreign reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Independent claim 12 recites "<u>An article comprising a medium storing instructions</u> that enable a processor-based system to". In regards to the Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Application for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility, the claims do not recite a <u>computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program</u>, which is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between

Application/Control Number: 09/652,413

Art Unit: 2623

the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory (see Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035 and Page 53 of the Interim Guidelines).

Additionally, note page 30 (Annex I) of the Interim Guidelines, which provides a flow chart to determine if the claimed invention comprises non-statutory subject matter. Note that one of the tests used to determine if a claim is non-statutory is determining whether the claimed invention is a practical application of an abstract idea, law of nature, or natural phenomenon (see page 19 of the Interim Guidelines). The examiner further notes that this test requires that the claimed invention not only provide a transformation or reduction of an article to a different state or thing (page 19 of the Interim Guidelines) but only provide a useful, concrete and tangible result.

In regards to the claimed invention providing a transformation or reduction of an article to a different state or thing, the claimed invention fails to provide such a change. Note that claim 12 simply recites receiving instructions that enable a processor-based system to receive data and enable other data to be received where no transformation or reduction has taken place in the claim limitations.

In regards to the claimed invention providing a useful, concrete and tangible result, the claimed invention fails to provide a result and only states instructions that enable a processor-based system to receive data and enable other data to be received. Therefore, since no result is positively recited, the claim is deemed non-statutory.

Application/Control Number: 09/652,413 Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

In regards to independent claims 1 and 23, see the rejection of claim 12 and further note that since all dependent claim fall under rejected independent claims 1, 12 and 23, all dependent claims fall rejected under their corresponding independent claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason P. Salce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7301. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason P Salce Primary Examiner Art Unit 2623 Application/Control Number: 09/652,413

Art Unit: 2623

December 4, 2006

JASON SALCE
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

AND LINE

L

Page 6